## THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL NEW DELHI

## M.A. No.140/2018 <u>Un-numbered Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. /2018</u> (F.No.19/07/2018/NCLAT/UR/641

## In the matter of:

Technology Development Board .... Appellant

Versus

International Asset Reconstruction Company Pvt. Ltd. (IARCL) & Anr.

.... Respondents

Appearance: None for the Appellant.

## 02.08.2018

This is an application under sub-rule (2) to Rule 26 of the NCLAT Rules, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as the Rules) to extend the time granted for compliance.

- 2. The facts mentioned in the Miscellaneous Application in short is that the Appeal was presented on 19.07.2018 and after scrutiny, Office intimated the defects on 23.07.2018 and also returned the Memo of Appeal to the Appellant on the same day. Thereafter, Appellant removed the defects, but in doing so, there is a delay of one day, so, the same may be condoned.
- 3. No one appeared on behalf of the Appellant.
- 4. Now the point for consideration is:
  - i) Whether the Appellant has explained the reasons for delay in filing the Memo of Appeal?
  - ii) Whether the Appellants are entitled to get any other relief?

5. I have gone through the averments made in the Miscellaneous Application and on perusal of the same, I find there is delay of one day only in re-filing the Memo of Appeal. So, considering these facts, the delay in re-filing the Memo of Appeal is hereby condoned.

6. The Point No.1 is answered accordingly. So far as the Point No.2 is concerned, the Appellant is not entitled for any other relief.

7. With the aforesaid order, this Miscellaneous Application stands disposed of.

8. Let the case be listed for hearing before the Hon'ble Bench on 06.08.2018.

(Abni Ranjan Kumar Sinha) Registrar

Dictated and corrected by me.

(Abni Ranjan Kumar Sinha) Registrar