

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL**  
**NEW DELHI**

**Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 149 of 2018**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Chandra Kalia Parkash**

**...Appellant**

**Versus**

**Rajeev Mannadiar & Ors.**

**...Respondents**

**Present:**

**For Appellant : Mr. Ashutosh, advocate**

**For 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent: Mr. P.V. Dinesh and Mr. Rajesh P. Advocates**

**For 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent : Ms. Sindhu T.P. and Mr. Rajendra Beniwal, Advocates**

**For 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent : Mr. A. Chatterjee, Mr. Rajiv S. Roy and Ms. Jaysree Saha, Advocates**

**O R D E R**

**23.04.2018** Learned counsel for the appellant submits that there is a delay in re-filing the appeal. The impugned order was passed on 8<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 and the said free copy of the impugned order was issued on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 and the appeal was filed on 7<sup>th</sup> March, 2018. After curing the defects the appeal was re-presented on 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2018. The date of filing thus remains as 7<sup>th</sup> March, 2018.

We have heard Mr. P.V. Dinesh, learned counsel for the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent, Ms. Sindhu T.P., learned counsel for the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent and Mr. A. Chatterjee, learned counsel for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent (Central Bank of India) and being

satisfied with the ground the refiling and delay of 5 days in preferring the appeal are condoned. I.A. No. 395-A of 2018 stands disposed of.

Learned counsel for the respondents are allowed ten days' time to file reply along with Vakalatnama, if not filed. Appellant may file rejoinder, if any, within five days thereof.

Post the matter on 14<sup>th</sup> May, 2018.

[Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya]  
Chairperson

[ Justice Bansi Lal Bhat ]  
Member (Judicial)

/ns/uk