

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI

Competition Appeal (AT) No. 07 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

**The Board of Control for Cricket in India
Versus**

...Appellant

Competition Commission of India & Anr.

...Respondents

Present:

**For Appellant : Mr. Kaustav Kundi and Ms. Twinkle Chawla,
 Advocates**

For 1st Respondent : Ms. Aakanksha Kaul, Advocate

O R D E R

12.02.2018 A clerical mistake in the order dated 8th February, 2018 passed in this appeal has brought to our notice wherein in the second line of the last paragraph, the impugned order has been wrongly mentioned as “impugned order dated 8th February, 2013” in place of “impugned order dated 29th November, 2017”.

It is accordingly ordered that the impugned order should be read as “29th November, 2017” in place of “8th February, 2013” in the second line of the last paragraph of order dated 8th February, 2018. The Registry is directed to make necessary corrections and corrected certified copy be issued free of cost to the parties.

In view of the correction made in the order, we extend the date of payment of process fee till 16th February, 2018.

[Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya]
Chairperson

/ns/uk

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

Competition Appeal (AT) No. 07 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

The Board of Control for Cricket in India

...Appellant

Vs.

Competition Commission of India & Anr.

...Respondents

Present: For Appellant: - Mr. Aryama Sundaram and Mr. Amit Sibal, Senior Advocates with Ms. Rohini Musa, Mr. Bharat Budholia, Ms. Aishwarya Gopalakrishnan, Mr. Kaustav, Mr. Vinay Tripathi, Mr. Aditya Gupta, Ms. Ruchi Verma and Ms. Twinkle Chawla, Advocates.

For Respondents: - Mr. P.C. Sen, Senior Advocate with Mr. Samar Bansal, Mr. Aakanksha Kaul and Ms. Shriya Singh, Advocates.

ORDER

08.02.2018 – The question arises for consideration in the appeal is whether the Competition Commission of India can rely on order dated 8th February, 2013, which stands set aside by the COMPAT?

Issue notice. Mr. Samar Bansal, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the 1st Respondent. No further notice need be issued to him. He may file reply along with vakalatnama within a week.

Let notice be issued on 2nd Respondent by speed post. Requisite along with process fee, if not filed, be filed by 9th February, 2018. If the Appellant provides the e-mail address of 2nd Respondent, let notice be also issued through e-mail.

Contd/-.....

Post the matter on 6th March, 2018.

In the meantime, if the Appellant deposit 10% of the penalty amount as determined by impugned order dated 29th November, 2017 the impugned order shall remain stayed.

(Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya)
Chairperson

(Justice Bansi Lal Bhat)
Member(Judicial)

Ar/uk