

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI**  
**Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 37 of 2018**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**JAS Telecom Pvt. Ltd.**

**...Appellant**

**Vs**

**Eolane Electronics Bangalore Pvt. Ltd.**

**....Respondent**

**Present:**

**For Appellant: Mr. Nikhil Swami and Ms. Divya Swami,  
Advocates.**

**For Respondent: Mr. Gorur N. Venkataraman, RP/ Liquidator.**

**O R D E R**

**20.02.2018.** Adjudicating Authority being not a necessary party, counsel for the appellant is allowed to remove the Adjudicating Authority from array of parties as second respondent. Appellant may make necessary correction in the cause title and memo of parties accordingly. Other necessary corrections in the paper book may also be carried out. Respondent no. 1 appeared through the Resolution Professional. He is allowed to file an affidavit and state whether the Corporate Debtor is occupying the premises in question or not and if occupying, whether it is paying the rent since the date of moratorium or not? He will also make it clear that if the period of moratorium is complete on initiation of liquidation proceeding, whether the Corporate Debtor is liable to pay the current rent.

Post the matter on **8<sup>th</sup> March, 2018**. Appeal may be disposed of at the stage of admission.

(Justice S. J. Mukhopadhaya)  
Chairperson

(Justice Bansi Lal Bhat)  
Member (Judicial)

*am/gc*