

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

Competition Appeal (AT) No. 1-03 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Coal India Limited & Ors.

...Appellants

Vs

Competition Commission of India & Anr.

....Respondents

Present:

For Appellants: Mr. Rajshekhar Rao, Mr. Yaman Verma, Ms. Gauri Mehta and Ms. Kruttika Vijay, Advocates.

**For Respondents: Mr. Salman Khurshid, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Rishad A. Chowdhury, Ms. Asiya Khan, Ms. Gitanjali Kapur and Ms. Sakshi Kotiyal, Advocates for Respondent No. 1.
Mr. Matrugupta Mishra, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.
Mr. Gaurav Mitra and Ms. Rashmita Roy Choudhury, Advocates for Respondent No. 3.**

With

Competition Appeal (AT) No. 11 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Coal India Limited & Ors.

...Appellants

Vs

Competition Commission of India & Ors.

....Respondents

Present:

For Appellants: Mr. Rajshekhar Rao, Mr. Yaman Verma, Ms. Gauri Mehta and Ms. Kruttika Vijay, Advocates.

**For Respondents: Mr. Salman Khurshid, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Rishad A. Chowdhury, Ms. Asiya Khan, Ms. Gitanjali Kapur and Ms. Sakshi Kotiyal, Advocates for Respondent No. 1.
Mr. Varun K. Chopra, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.**

With

Competition Appeal (AT) No. 12 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Coal India Limited & Ors.

...Appellants

Vs

Competition Commission of India & Anr.

....Respondents

Present:

For Appellants: Mr. Rajshekhar Rao, Mr. Yaman Verma, Ms. Gauri Mehta and Ms. Kruttika Vijay, Advocates.

**For Respondents: Mr. Salman Khurshid, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Rishad A. Chowdhury, Ms. Asiya Khan, Ms. Gitanjali Kapur and Ms. Sakshi Kotiyal, Advocates for Respondent No. 1.
Mr. M. A. Venkatasubramanian, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.
Mr. Varun K. Chopra, Advocate.**

O R D E R

05.03.2018: It is informed that Mr. Niraj Kishan Kaul, learned counsel, who was presenting the case before the Tribunal on behalf of the appellant could not reach because of cancellation of flight and therefore another counsel appeared to assist the Tribunal in the meantime.

This case was taken up on 31st May, 2017 when an interim order was passed. Petition was filed to vacate the interim order. From the record, we find that for one or other reason the matter could not be taken up and not concluded as for last 7¹/₂ months, therefore, it is desirable to hear the parties on the petition for vacating the interim order, as filed by the Commission.

Post the cases 'for orders' on **22nd March, 2018**.

(Justice S. J. Mukhopadhaya)
Chairperson

(Justice A.I.S. Cheema)
Member (Judicial)

(Balvinder Singh)
Member (Technical)

am/uk