

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) (Ins)No.185 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Mahesh Kumar Panwar

...Appellant

Vs

Neelam Singh & Anr

...Respondent

Present: Mr. S. Ghosh, with Col H.S. Sharma, Mr. Arvind Kumar, Ms CS Manish, Mr. Abhishekh Nahata, Advocates.

Mr. Rahul Shukla, with Mr. Anukul Raj, Nikita Raj, Advocates for Respondent No.1.

Mr. Kumar Dushyant Singh, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

ORDER

09.03.2018- Heard Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate in par. Hearing remained inconclusive. He is allowed time till next date to lay hand on the record to show that the amount of Rs.9 lakhs as odd as shown in the Annual Return as on 31.3.2017 was returned back to Respondent, Neelam Singh.

We have already noticed the agreement dated 6th October, 2008 (Page 25) and another agreement dated 10.11.2008 from which prima facie we find that Neelam Singh is a financial creditor, and her case is covered under this Appellate Tribunal decision in ***Nikhil Mehta & Sons (HUF) & Ors Vs AMR Infrastructure Ltd in Company Appeal (AT)(Ins) No.7 of 2017.***

List the case for further hearing on 13th March, 2018 as 1st part heard case.

(Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya)
Chairperson

(Justice Bansi Lal Bhat)
Member (Judicial)

Bm/unni