## NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL <u>NEW DELHI</u>

## Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 03 of 2018

## **IN THE MATTER OF:**

Rahul Gupta		Appellant
Versus		
Mahesh Madhavan & Anr.		Respondents
Present:		
For Appellant :	Mr. Anish R. Shah and	Mr. Vijay Singh, Advocate
For Respondents:	Mr. A.K. Mylsamy, Ms. Shalini Kaul and Mr. Chaman Lal Choudhary, Advocates	

## ORDER

**15.03.2018** Appellant is the Director of 'M/s. Black N Green Mobile Solutions Pvt. Ltd.' He has challenged the order dated 8<sup>th</sup> December, 2017 passed by the Adjudicating Authority (National Company Law Tribunal), Chennai, whereby and whereunder the 'corporate insolvency resolution process' has been imitated against the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent company at the instance of 1<sup>st</sup> respondent – 'Mahesh Madhavan', who filed the application under Section 9 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as the 'I&B Code').

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that the claim of the  $1^{st}$  respondent is based on lease deed reached between the  $1^{st}$  respondent and one 'M/s. Universal Power Systems Pvt. Ltd.' and not with the  $2^{nd}$  respondent – 'Corporate Debtor'. However, he accepts that the  $2^{nd}$ 

respondent – 'Corporate Debtor' was a party to the 'Lease Agreement' when it was signed i.e. on 3<sup>rd</sup> December, 2012.

3. It was next contended that the application under Section 9 filed by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent was incomplete as they have not disclosed the 'enforcement security interest' amounting to Rs. 62 lakhs, which were required to be disclosed in terms of Rule 6 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority) Rules, 2016 read with Form 5 (Part V). According to him, such disclosure is mandatory, which could have been taken into consideration by the Adjudicating Authority to reject the application being incomplete.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent prays for and allowed time to address the Appellate Tribunal on both the issues, particularly on the 2<sup>nd</sup> issue relating to 'enforcement security interest', which covers the total claimed amount including alleged default amount.

5. Post the case on 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2018. The appeal may be disposed of at the stage of admission.

6. Until further orders, the interim order passed on 8<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 shall continue.

[Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya] Chairperson

[ Justice Bansi Lal Bhat ] Member (Judicial)

2