## NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

## Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 292 & 293 of 2017

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

Adiya Kumar Jajodia ...Appellant

Vs

Srei Infrastructure Finance Ltd. & Ors.

....Respondents

**Present:** 

For Appellant: Mr. Ajay Gaggar and Mr. Robin Singh Sirohi,

Advocates

For Respondents: Mr. Arijit Mazumdar and Mr. R. N. Ghosh,

Advocates for R-1.

Mr. Rishav Banerjee and Ms. Jayantika Ganguly,

Advocates for R-2 (RP)

Mr. Bhavesh Verma, Advocate for R-3.

With

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 324 of 2017

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

Allahabad Bank ...Appellant

Vs

SREI Infrastructure Finance Ltd. & Anr. ....Re

-----

 $\dots$ Respondents

**Present:** 

For Appellant: Mr. Ashok Kumar Jain, Advocate.

For Respondents: Mr. Arijit Mazumdar, Mr. R. N. Ghosh, Mr. Akshay

Chandra and Mr. Shambo Nandy, Advocates for R-

1.

Mr. Rishav Banerjee and Ms. Jayantika Ganguly,

Advocates for R-2 (RP).

### ORDER

# Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 292 & 293 of 2017

**27.03.2018:** Admit.

Reply has been filed by 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent (Financial Creditor). Another reply has been filed by 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent (Principal Borrower), who has supported the case of the Appellant – Shareholder/Director of the Corporate Debtor.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent submits that 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent being the Principal Borrower is supporting the case of the Appellant and it has brought on record certain new facts to which the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent intends to file reply.

Taking into consideration the fact that the Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process was initiated under Section 7 at the instance of the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent and he has a role to play, we allow the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent to file reply to the stand taken by the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent in its reply within 10 days. Particularly, in response to the enclosures attached thereto.

2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent is allowed to file reply in course of the day after serving the copy of the same to the counsel of the parties.

## Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 324 of 2017

Admit.

Respondent no. 1 has filed an application challenging the maintainability of this appeal. Counsel for the Appellant prays for and allowed to file reply within one week. Respondent no. 2 prays for and allowed a weeks' time to file reply to the appeal. Appellant may file rejoinder within a week thereof.

Post both these appeals on 17th April, 2018.

[Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya] Chairperson

[Justice Bansi Lal Bhat] Member (Judicial)

am/uk