

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) No. 103 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Union of India, Ministry of Corporate Affairs

...Appellant

Vs

Gitanjali Gems Ltd. & Ors.

....Respondents

Present:

For Appellant: Mr. Sandeep Sethi, ASGI assisted by Mr. Shivam Goel, Advocate
Mr. Sanjay Shorey, JD(L), MCA, Mr. Rakesh Tiwari, JD, RD(WR), and Mr. Meghav Gupta, CP, MCA.

For Respondents: Mr. Arpan Behl and Mr. Priyank Mangal, Advocates for R-1 & 2.
Mr. Arunabh Chowdhary, Mr. Abhay Jadeja, Mr. K. Dorjee and Mr. Vaibhav Tomer, Advocates for R-3.
Mr. Ashish Prasad and Mr. Mehfooz Nazki, Advocates for R-5, 6 & 7.
Mr. Raghav Gupta, Advocate for Respondent No. 8.

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 119 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Union of India, Ministry of Corporate Affairs

...Appellant

Vs

Gitanjali Gems Ltd. & Ors.

....Respondents

Present:

For Appellant: Mr. Sandeep Sethi, ASGI assisted by Mr. Shivam Goel, Advocate
Mr. Sanjay Shorey, JD(L), MCA, Mr. Rakesh Tiwari, JD, RD(WR), and Mr. Meghav Gupta, CP, MCA.

For Respondents: Mr. Arpan Behl and Mr. Priyank Mangal, Advocates for R-35 & R-38.

**Mr. Upinder Singh and Mr. Ramanjit Singh,
Advocate for R-42.**

**Mr. Arunubh Chowdhary, Mr. Abhay Jadeja and
Mr. Vaibhav Tomer, Advocates for R-43**

**Mr. Dheeraj Nair, Mr. Mohit Bakshi and
Mr. Kumar Kislay, Advocates for R-44, 51 & 53.**

Ms. Charu Sharma, Advocate for R-64.

**Mr. Vijay Aggarwal and Mr. Akhil Agarwal,
Advocates for R-27, 33, 36, 48, 50, 57, 60, 64, 66
& 67.**

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 124 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Suresh Kumar Bhutani

...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs**

...Respondent

Present:

**For Appellant: Mr. Sumesh Dhawan and Ms. Tannya Baranwal,
Advocates.**

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 125 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Paresh Pravibhai Rathod

...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs**

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant: Mr. Mudir Jain, Advocate

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 126 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Haresh V. Rajlal Shah

...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs**

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant: Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, Advocate.

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 127 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Ketan Chandrakant Solanki

...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs**

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant: Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, Advocate.

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 128 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Manish Lalit Dani

...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs**

...Respondent

Present:
For Appellant: Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, Advocate.

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 129 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sanket Bipin Shah ...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs ...Respondent**

Present:
For Appellant: Mr. Vijay Aggarwal, Advocate.

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 130 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Himanshu Pravinchandra Trivedi ...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs ...Respondent**

Present:
For Appellant: Mr. Ashul Agarwal, Advocate.

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 131 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Jyoti B Vora ...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs**

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant: Ms. Tannya Mehta, Advocate.

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 132 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sudhir Ambalal Mehta

...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs**

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant: Mr. Abhimanyu Bhandari, Advocate.

With

Company Appeal (AT) No. 133 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Chandrakant Kanu Karkare

...Appellant

Vs

**Union of India,
Ministry of Corporate Affairs**

...Respondent

Present:

**For Appellant: Mr. Sumesh Dhawan and Ms. Tannya Baranwal,
Advocates**

ORDER

11.05.2018: All the parties have been heard. Hearing concluded.
Judgment reserved.

However, in the interest of justice, we allow the appellant(s)/respondent(s), who are affected by the interim order dated 23rd February, 2018 passed by the Tribunal, to file their respective affidavits giving details of the following facts :-

1. Name of the person
2. Post, they were holding in the company in question
3. Actual salary /take home salary if any.
4. The details of different properties (in short) (movable and immovable) which are affected in view of the impugned order dated 23rd February, 2018. (Provide only the name of the town, no other detail).
5. Property, if any, purchased in the name of spouse or children (say Yes or No); or
6. Whether maintaining any bank account (say only Yes or No). The name of the bank; account number and the amount should not be disclosed.
7. If they intend to give any undertaking before the Tribunal / Appellate Tribunal, such as that they will co-operate in the investigation, disclose all the details of the properties, documents available with them etc.

8. Amount, if any, kept/maintained in a fixed deposit or provident fund, mutual fund, SIP etc. (Only say Yes or No).
9. Any other information which is relevant for passing appropriate order.

It will be open to the parties to file their respective short submissions of not more than three pages within a week.

[Justice S. J. Mukhopadhaya]
Chairperson

[Justice Bansilal Bhat]
Member (Judicial)

ns/uk