

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) No. 379 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Aude Priya Donatelle Wacziarg EP Engel

...Appellant

Versus

M/s. Neemrana Hotels Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : **Shri Abhivan Vashisht, Senior Advocate assisted by
Shri Ashish Dholakia, Shri Jayant Mehta, Ms. Nidhi
Mohan Parashar and Shri Rohan Chawla, Advocates**

For 1st Respondent: **Shri Vivek Malik, Advocate**

**For 2nd and 3
Respondent:** **Shri K. Datta and Shri Shantanu Prashar, Advocates**

O R D E R

14.11.2017 The question arises for consideration is whether in a petition under Section 241 of the Companies Act, 2013, without holding that the interim order required to be passed in the interest of conduct of the company's affairs, as stipulated under sub-section (4) of Section 242 of the Companies Act, 2013, any other nature of order can be passed.

Let Notice be issued on the respondents.

Shri Vivek Malik, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of 1st Respondent and Shri Shantanu Prashar, advocate accepts notice on behalf of rest of the respondents. No further notice need be issued.

Learned counsel for the appellant had already served a copy of the paper books on the respondents. They may file reply within a week. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within a week thereof.

Post the matter on 29th November, 2017 before the appropriate Bench.

In the meantime, 2nd Respondent-petitioner may continue as Director for day-to-day affairs of the company but will not take part in any of the meetings of the Board of Directors, which relates to policy decision of the Company.

[Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya]
Chairperson

[Justice A.I.S. Cheema]
Member (Judicial)

[Balvinder Singh]
Member (Technical)

/ns/uk/