NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL **NEW DELHI**

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 231 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Ind Barath Thermal Power Ltd.

... Appellant

Versus

Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution Company Limited

... Respondent

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 232 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Ind Barath Thermal Power Ltd.

... Appellant

Versus

Tamil Nadu Generation & **Distribution Company Limited**

... Respondent

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 215 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Aarkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited ... Appellant

Versus

Tamil Nadu Generation & **Distribution Company Limited**

... Respondent

Present: For Appellant: Shri Amit Sibal, Senior Advocate with Shri

> Anirudh Wadhwa, Shri Anirudh Krishnan, Shri Keerthikaran, Shri Bhargav R. Thali and

Shri Hiresh Chowdhary, Advocates

For Respondent: Shri G. Umapathy, Shri S. Vallinayagam and Shri Aditya Singh, Advocates

ORDER

25.10.2017 According to the appellants, the respondent has disputed the larger amount but not raised any dispute with regard to the admitted amount.

Let Notice be issued to the respondent(s) in all the appeals.

Shri S. Vallinayagam, Advocate has already appeared and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s). No further notice need be issued on it.

The common respondent is allowed a week's time to file separate replies in all the appeals including the document(s), if any, raising dispute with regard to the debt claimed of default alleged, and will state whether the dispute was raised prior to issuance of Notice under sub-Section (1) of Section 8 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. The appellant may file rejoinder, if any, within a week thereof.

Post these appeals on 15th November, 2017.

[Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya] Chairperson

[Justice Bansi Lal Bhat] Member (Judicial)

/nq/