## NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL **NEW DELHI**

## Company Appeal (AT) No. 159 of 2017

## IN THE MATTER OF:

Therm Flow Engineers Pvt. Ltd.

...Appellant

Versus

Mr. Bhavesh Narumalani & Anr.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant:

Mr. Ashok Lal Bhai, Shri Arvind Kumar, Ms. Purti

Marwaha and Ms. Henna George, Advocates

For Respondent No.1: Shri K. Datta, Ms. Prachi Johri and Ms. Malvika

Awasthi, Advocates

## ORDER

15.09.2017 Heard learned counsel for the appellant. She submits that vide order dated 21st August, 2017, this Tribunal has allowed time to file supplementary affidavit enclosing any evidence to suggest that the respondent-petitioners were informed or attended the meetings in which their shares were brought down or any record to suggest that the respondent-petitioners were aware that the shares were brought down. It is stated that in view of these directions, appellant has filed supplementary affidavit limited to that extent only as directed by this Tribunal but she says that in the reply filed to her supplementary affidavit, Respondent No. 1 has raised new issues. She submits in view of that she wants to file rejoinder. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 1 disputes this.

She may, if so desired, keep the rejoinder ready with her but the same may not be filed without permission of this Tribunal. Let the matter be listed for hearing and during the course of hearing, if it is necessary, the rejoinder may be taken on record.

Learned counsel for both sides pray that the matter be listed on 1<sup>st</sup> November, 2017. As prayed, post the matter on 1<sup>st</sup> November, 2017.

[ Justice A.I.S. Cheema ] Member (Judicial)

> [ Balvinder Singh ] Member (Technical)

/ns/