

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) No.89 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Dhiren Pratapmal Bhandari

...Appellant

Versus

DB Shapriya Constructions Ltd. & Ors.

...Respondents

Present: Shri Shivek Trehan and Shri Pranay Govil, Advocates for the Appellant

Ms. Sakshee Sharma, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3, 5 and 6

O R D E R

08.08.2018 Advocate Ms. Sakshee Sharma submits that she is appearing for Respondents 3, 5 and 6. She submits that Respondent Nos.1 and 2 have filed Affidavit in Reply in the Appeal. She submits that Respondents 3, 5 and 6 have instructed her to adopt the Reply filed by the Respondents 1 and 2. Recorded.

Respondent No.4 – Ashish Laxmiswarup Srivastava has not yet been served. The Notice sent has been returned with endorsement that addressee left without address. The Appellant may take steps for substituted service on Respondent No.4. As proposed by Advocate, in addition to usual mode of service, Notice may be issued in newspaper - “Indian Express” and Hindi newspaper – “Jansatta” having circulation in New Delhi. Registry may give hamdast to the counsel for Appellant to get the Notice published at the cost of Appellant. Copy of the newspapers should be filed before the next date.

The Appellant may file rejoinder by next date.

List the Appeal on 4th September, 2018.

[Justice A.I.S. Cheema]
Member (Judicial)

[Balvinder Singh]
Member (Technical)

/rs/sk