

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI**

**Company Appeal (AT) No. 400 of 2017  
With I.A. No. 174 of 2018**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Pinakin Kharwar**

**...Appellants**

**Vs**

**Naginam Processors Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**

**....Respondents**

**With**

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI**

**Company Appeal (AT) No. 403 of 2017  
With I.A. No. 173 of 2018**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Pinakin Kharwar**

**...Appellants**

**Vs**

**Rudraksh Synthetics Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**

**....Respondents**

**Present:**

**For Appellants:            Mr. Suryanarayanan    and    Ms. Garima Bajaj,  
Advocates.**

**For Respondents:        Mr. Dhiren R. Dave, PCS**

**O R D E R**

**23.03.2018:**        Counsel for appellant tenders rejoinder across the bar. It should have been filed earlier. The matter is part heard and we continue with the arguments. We are taking note of the rejoinder for argument, subject to the rejoinder being found in order by registry. We continue with the arguments.

Arguments heard and completed in both I.As and both appeals.

For **final orders and judgments** in the I.As and Appeals.

(Justice A.I.S. Cheema)  
Member (Judicial)

Balvinder Singh)  
Member (Technical)

sh/nn