

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) No. 372 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

R. Jeyapaal

...Appellant

Vs

Arjuna Engineering Pvt. Ltd & 2 Ors.

....Respondents

Present:

For Appellants: Mr. K. Parmeshwaran and Mr. P.P. Vikram, Advocates

For Respondents: Mr. Robin R David, Mr. P. Arularasu, Mr. Febin M Varghese and Mr. Dhiraj Philip, Advocates.

O R D E R

24.05.2018: This is part heard appeal. On earlier occasion we had permitted filing with affidavit copies of documents from record of NCLT. It is stated that the costs have been paid. However, what has been tendered with Diary No. 4876 is a long story more in the nature of arguments or pleadings than referring to the documents, their relevance or why they could not be filed earlier. Counsel for the appellant submits that he withdraws the averments made in Para 2 to 21 in the said application I.A. 598/2018. Recorded. The documents are stated to have been part of the record of NCLT. The parties would be at liberty to refer to the documents in support of arguments to support or oppose the grounds of appeal.

Arguments of counsel for both sides completed. **Reserved for Judgment.**

(Justice A.I.S. Cheema)
Member (Judicial)

(Balvinder Singh)
Member (Technical)

Sh/nn