

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) No. 339 of 2017

IN THE MATTER OF:

Lalia Joseph

...Appellant

Vs

A. K. Mansoor & Ors.

....Respondents

Present:

For Appellants: Shri Vkramjit Banerjee, Senior Advocate with Shri E. M. S. Anant, Shri M. S. Vishnu Sankar, Shri Ayush Anand, Advocates.

For Respondents: Shri Krishnakumar R. S. and Shri K. S. Mahadevan, Advocates for Respondent No.1.

Shri Sunil Tripathi, Advocate for Respondent No. 2, 3 and 4.

O R D E R

1.11.2017: Heard learned counsel for the appellant Perused Impugned order and Perused Annexure – A10 which was filed as petition for impleadment. The learned counsel for the appellant is submitting that in the Company Petition no relief was sought against the present Appellant. Even the application for impleadment does not seek to amend the body of Company Petition to show as to why the Appellant is being impleaded in the Company Petition or what relief is sought against her.

According to the learned counsel, in order to make out the ground in the SLP filed by the respondent in the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this impleadment was sought with ulterior motive.

Let notice be issued to respondents by speed post. Requisites along with process fee, if not filed, be filed in the registry. If the appellants provide the e-mail address of the respondents, let notice be issued through e-mail also.

Now, Advocate Shri Krishnakumar R. S. suo moto appears on behalf of respondent no. 1. Advocate Shri Sunil Tripathi suo moto appears on behalf of Respondent nos. 2, 3 and 4. Both these advocates dispense with service of formal notice. Counsel for appellant will serve the copies of the appeals to both these advocates for Respondents concerned. Service on rest of the respondents to be done.

List the matter on **21st November, 2017**.

(Justice A.I.S. Cheema)
Member (Judicial)

(Balvinder Singh)
Member (Technical)